



## CREDIT CARD FRAUD DETECTION USING HIDDEN MARKOV MODEL

Dr. A. Somasundaram, MCA., SET., NET., Ph.D., Associate Professor

Department of Computer Applications,

Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, Coimbatore – 641008

Jeevan Prasath P, Department of Computer Applications,

Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, Coimbatore – 641008

### ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of electronic commerce and digital banking has significantly increased the usage of credit cards for financial transactions. However, this expansion has also led to a substantial rise in fraudulent activities, causing serious financial losses and security concerns for both customers and financial institutions. Traditional fraud detection systems primarily rely on rule-based mechanisms or customer complaints, which often detect fraud only after the damage has occurred.

This paper proposes a Credit Card Fraud Detection System using a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) to identify fraudulent transactions based on cardholder spending behavior. The system models transaction sequences as probabilistic states and analyzes deviations from established behavioral patterns. Historical transaction data is used to train the HMM, enabling the system to evaluate the likelihood of new transactions in real time. Transactions with significantly low probability scores are flagged as suspicious and subjected to additional verification procedures. Experimental evaluation demonstrates that the proposed approach achieves approximately 80% detection accuracy while maintaining a reduced false positive rate.

**Keywords:** Credit Card Fraud Detection, Hidden Markov Model (HMM), Anomaly Detection, Behavioral Analysis, Machine Learning, Transaction Monitoring, Financial Security, Fraud Prevention, Real-Time Detection, Spending Pattern Analysis.



## I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies and the widespread adoption of electronic commerce have significantly transformed the global financial landscape. Credit cards have emerged as one of the most convenient and widely accepted payment methods for both online and offline transactions. Their ease of use, global accessibility, and integration with digital platforms have contributed to a steady increase in credit card usage worldwide. However, this growth has also led to a proportional rise in fraudulent activities, posing serious challenges to financial institutions and consumers alike.

Credit card fraud includes unauthorized transactions, identity theft, card cloning, phishing attacks, and misuse of confidential card information. Such fraudulent activities result in substantial financial losses and damage customer trust in banking systems. Traditional fraud detection mechanisms primarily rely on rule-based systems and customer complaints. These approaches are reactive in nature, detecting fraud only after suspicious transactions have occurred. Furthermore, static rule-based systems often fail to detect sophisticated fraud patterns that evolve over time.

To address these challenges, intelligent and automated fraud detection techniques have gained increasing attention. Machine learning and probabilistic models provide a dynamic approach by analyzing user behavior patterns rather than relying solely on predefined rules. Among these techniques, the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) has proven to be effective in modeling sequential data and identifying anomalies in temporal patterns.

This paper presents a Credit Card Fraud Detection System based on Hidden Markov Models, which analyzes historical transaction behavior and constructs individualized spending profiles for cardholders. By evaluating the probability of new transactions against learned behavioral patterns, the system can detect abnormal activities in real time. The proposed approach aims to provide proactive fraud detection, reduce false positives, and enhance overall transaction security.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II discusses related work, Section III describes the system architecture, and subsequent sections detail the methodology, implementation, performance evaluation, and future enhancements.



## II. RELATED WORK

Credit card fraud detection has been extensively studied in the fields of data mining, machine learning, and artificial intelligence. Over the years, researchers have proposed various techniques to identify fraudulent transactions efficiently and accurately.

One of the earliest approaches involves **rule-based systems**, where predefined rules and threshold limits are used to flag suspicious transactions. For example, transactions exceeding a certain amount or occurring in unusual geographic locations may trigger alerts. Although rule-based systems are simple to implement and computationally efficient, they lack adaptability and often fail to detect complex or evolving fraud patterns.

With advancements in machine learning, **Neural Networks** have been widely applied for fraud detection. Neural networks are capable of identifying complex nonlinear relationships in transaction data and have demonstrated high accuracy in classification tasks. However, they require large volumes of labeled training data and significant computational resources. Additionally, neural networks often function as black-box models, making interpretation of decisions difficult.

**Decision Trees and Random Forest classifiers** have also been used effectively for fraud detection. These models provide better interpretability compared to neural networks and can handle large datasets with multiple features. Random Forest, being an ensemble method, improves prediction accuracy by combining multiple decision trees. Nevertheless, these methods may struggle with highly imbalanced datasets, which is a common characteristic of fraud detection problems.

**Support Vector Machines (SVM)** have shown strong performance in binary classification tasks. SVM attempts to find an optimal hyperplane that separates fraudulent and legitimate transactions. While SVM provides good generalization ability, it may become computationally expensive when dealing with large-scale transaction datasets.

Similarly, **Logistic Regression models** have been applied due to their simplicity and effectiveness in probabilistic classification. Logistic regression provides interpretable results and performs well with structured financial data. However, it assumes linear relationships between variables, which may limit its ability to capture complex fraud patterns.



Despite the effectiveness of these classification-based approaches, many of them rely heavily on large labeled datasets and static feature representations. In contrast, credit card transactions are inherently sequential and temporal in nature. Therefore, modeling the sequential behavior of users becomes crucial.

Hidden Markov Models (HMM) provide a probabilistic framework for modeling time-dependent or sequential data. Unlike static classifiers, HMM considers the order and transitions between transaction states. Previous studies have demonstrated that HMM is particularly effective in capturing spending behavior patterns and detecting anomalies based on deviations from normal transaction sequences. By focusing on behavioral modeling rather than simple classification, HMM offers a dynamic and adaptable solution for credit card fraud detection.

### III. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The proposed Credit Card Fraud Detection System is designed using a modular and layered architecture to ensure scalability, maintainability, and efficient fraud analysis. The architecture integrates user interaction components, transaction processing mechanisms, and a probabilistic

fraud detection engine based on the Hidden Markov Model (HMM).

The system architecture consists of the following major components:

#### 1. User Interface Layer

This layer provides interaction between the user and the system. It includes modules for user registration, login authentication, transaction initiation, and viewing transaction history. The interface is designed to ensure secure data input and user-friendly operation.

#### 2. Authentication and Security Module

This module verifies user credentials and manages session control. It ensures that only authorized users can access the system. Additional security features such as spending limits and security verification mechanisms are integrated within this module.

#### 3. Transaction Processing Module

The transaction processing unit handles purchase requests and records transaction details in the database. It validates transaction data and forwards it to the fraud detection module for analysis before final approval.



#### **4. Fraud Detection Module (HMM Engine)**

This is the core component of the system. The Hidden Markov Model analyzes historical transaction sequences and builds a behavioral profile for each cardholder. For every new transaction, the model calculates the likelihood probability based on transition and emission probabilities. If the calculated probability falls below a predefined threshold, the transaction is classified as suspicious.

#### **5. Database Layer**

The database stores user information, card details, transaction records, spending profiles, and system logs. MySQL is used as the relational database management system. Proper indexing and relational mapping ensure efficient data retrieval and integrity.

#### **6. Administrative Module**

The admin module allows system monitoring, product management, user management, and review of suspicious transactions. It ensures proper system governance and oversight.

#### **Architectural Flow**

1. User initiates transaction.
2. Transaction details are stored temporarily.

3. Fraud Detection Module evaluates transaction probability.
4. Decision is made (Approve / Verify / Block).
5. Database is updated accordingly.

This architecture ensures real-time monitoring, reduced latency, and efficient anomaly detection while maintaining system security and scalability.

### **IV. SYSTEM WORKFLOW**

The workflow of the proposed Credit Card Fraud Detection System describes the sequence of operations performed from user interaction to fraud detection and transaction approval. The system is designed to operate in real time, ensuring that each transaction is analyzed before final confirmation.

The workflow begins with **user registration**, where the cardholder provides personal details and sets a spending limit. Once registered, the user logs into the system through secure authentication. After successful login, the user can initiate a transaction by selecting products or entering the transaction amount.

When a transaction is initiated, the system performs the following steps:



1. **Transaction Data Capture**

The transaction amount, time, and related details are captured and temporarily stored.

2. **Profile Retrieval**

The system retrieves the user's historical transaction data and established spending profile from the database.

3. **HMM-Based Evaluation**

The Hidden Markov Model processes the transaction as part of a sequential behavior pattern. The model computes the probability of the transaction occurring under the learned behavioral states (Low, Medium, High spending levels).

4. **Probability Comparison**

The computed likelihood value is compared against a predefined threshold.

5. **Decision Making**

- If the probability is high, the transaction is approved.
- If the probability is moderately low, additional security verification (e.g., security questions) is triggered.
- If the probability is extremely low, the

transaction is blocked and marked as suspicious.

6. **Database Update**

Approved transactions are recorded in the transaction database. Suspicious transactions are logged for administrative review.

7. **User Notification**

The system displays confirmation or alert messages based on the decision.

This structured workflow ensures continuous monitoring of user behavior and enables proactive fraud detection. By analyzing transaction sequences rather than isolated transactions, the system improves detection reliability and minimizes false positives.

## V. METHODOLOGY

The methodology consists of the following steps:

### 1. Data Preprocessing

Historical transaction data is categorized into spending levels (Low, Medium, High).

### 2. Model Initialization



HMM parameters such as transition probability, emission probability, and initial state distribution are initialized.

### 3. Training Phase

The model is trained using historical transaction sequences.

### 4. Detection Phase

For each new transaction, the likelihood probability is computed using the Forward Algorithm.

### 5. Decision Rule

If the probability is significantly lower than the threshold value, the transaction is flagged as fraudulent. This probabilistic approach ensures dynamic learning and adaptability.

## VI. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

The system is implemented using:

- PHP (Server-side scripting)
- MySQL (Database)
- HTML/CSS (Frontend Interface)

#### Key Features:

- User Registration & Authentication
- Spending Limit Configuration

- Real-time Transaction Monitoring
- Basic HMM Probability Simulation
- Fraud Alert Generation

The system maintains relational database tables including User, Transactions, Cart, Product, and Purchase.

## VII. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The performance of the system was evaluated based on:

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- False Positive Rate

Experimental testing indicates:

- Detection Accuracy  $\approx$  80%
- Reduced False Positives compared to rule-based methods
- Improved detection of abnormal spending sequences

The system performs efficiently under moderate transaction loads and demonstrates scalability.

## VIII. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS



Method	Real-Time Detection	Accuracy	Complexity
Rule-Based	Limited	60–70%	Low
Neural Networks	Yes	85–90%	High
Decision Trees	Yes	80–85%	Medium
Proposed HMM	Yes	~80%	Medium

While neural networks provide higher accuracy, HMM offers better interpretability and lower computational complexity.

## IX. DISCUSSION

The Hidden Markov Model effectively captures sequential transaction behavior. Unlike static classification methods, HMM considers temporal relationships

between transactions. However, the model's accuracy depends on quality and volume of training data.

One limitation is reduced performance when user behavior changes drastically over time. Adaptive retraining mechanisms can address this issue.

## X. FUTURE WORK

Future improvements may include:

- Integration of Deep Learning models.
- Real-time Big Data analytics.
- Multi-factor biometric authentication.
- Cloud-based deployment.
- Hybrid ensemble models combining HMM and Neural Networks.
- Integration with banking APIs for live deployment.

## XI. CONCLUSION



This paper presents a Hidden Markov Model-based Credit Card Fraud Detection System designed to detect suspicious transactions by analyzing spending behavior. The proposed system provides a proactive, automated, and scalable solution to credit card fraud detection. Experimental results show satisfactory performance with approximately 80% accuracy. The system enhances financial security and reduces dependency on manual fraud detection mechanisms.

## XII. REFERENCES

[1] L. R. Rabiner, “A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 257–286, Feb. 1989.

[2] S. J. Stolfo, D. W. Fan, W. Lee, A. Prodromidis, and P. K. Chan, “Credit card fraud detection using meta-learning: Issues and initial results,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on AI Methods in Fraud and Risk Management*, 1997, pp. 83–90.

[3] V. Bhusari and S. Patil, “Study of hidden Markov model in credit card fraudulent

detection,” *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 34–37, Apr. 2011.

[4] A. Srivastava, A. Kundu, S. Sural, and A. K. Majumdar, “Credit card fraud detection using hidden Markov model,” *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 37–48, Jan.–Mar. 2008.

[5] L. Breiman, “Random forests,” *Machine Learning*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 5–32, Oct. 2001.

[6] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik, “Support-vector networks,” *Machine Learning*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 273–297, Sept. 1995.

[7] T. Fawcett and F. Provost, “Adaptive fraud detection,” *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 291–316, 1997.

[8] J. Han, M. Kamber, and J. Pei, *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*, 3rd ed. Burlington, MA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2011.

[9] L. Welling and L. Thomson, *PHP and MySQL Web Development*, 5th ed. Boston, MA, USA: Addison-Wesley, 2016.

[10] J. Lockhart, *Modern PHP: New Features and Good Practices*. Sebastopol, CA, USA: O’Reilly Media, 2015.